

Donald F. Padelford
POB 2846
Seattle, Washington 98111
206-262-1155
fax 262-1930
dfp@dfpNET.NET

Bus Rapid Transit in the Metropolitan Puget Sound version 1.28

Basic Elements

Complete the core freeway HOV lane network (funded by legislature),
and convert these to HOT

300 Lane Miles

HOT technology provides congestion-free roadbed for BRT
50-60 mph 24/7

HOT lanes on the center span of the I-90 floating bridge
Direct access to Bellevue Way SE using existing ramps
Mercer Island preferred access maintained

HOT lanes on 520
If GP lanes are tolled partially for flow maintenance
(as opposed to exclusively for revenue generation),
they become semi-HOT

HOT lanes on the now-reversible lanes of I-5 to Northgate
Possibly 2x2 south of 520, 1x1 north of 520

Off-line unloading / loading

Hugely increases capacity (potential through-put)
Several times that of LRT

HOT to HOT interchanges

Eventually
Typically when the interchange is being rebuilt anyway

Guided-busway along all or part of Initial Segment currently being constructed by Sound Transit between downtown Seattle and SeaTac Airport

This construction is becoming a sunk cost

Super-deluxe “arterial BRT” replaces LRT

Guided-bus technology in the DSTT (Downtown Seattle Transit Tunnel) allowing 4 lanes in stations

Allows skip-stops, with consequent quadrupling of potential through-put from today’s level

Slight narrowing of platforms required

On guided-busway segments apply technology to make BRT as smooth as high-end LRT (eg Portland Max)

Done today on the Adelaide (Australia) O-Bahn

Bus-only lanes on major arterials

Rush-hours only or full-time

Parking-garage-type arms keep out non-transit vehicles
Right turns into businesses ok

If full-time, then municipal lots more than make up for lost on-street parking
200%?
300%?

Special treatment of downtown Bellevue

High end: tunnel similar to the DSTT

Low end: dedicated bus streets, bus-only lanes, and transit mall

Direct-access ramps to I-405 (existing) and SR520

Possible use of BN ROW to connect to downtown Kirkland

Special treatment of University District

High end: tunnel similar to the DSTT
Possible extension to Capitol Hill

Low end: dedicated bus streets and bus-only lanes

Direct-access ramps to I-5 HOT (center) lanes
North and south of the business district

Special Treatment of the Montlake Bridge area

Second bridge with dedicated bus lanes?

HOT tunnel?

Other options being studied in 520 Bridge Project

Optional Elements

All or parts of the Ride Free Express program

Reduced or eliminated bus fares
Easiest/cheapest way to gain ridership
eg Tacoma Link

Major expansion of the vanpool program
Extremely cost-effective

Overhead guided-busways on certain arterials

eg Highway 99, north of Greenlake

cf monorail

Similar in footprint and impact
Buses are much lighter than trains, therefore less bulky structure needed

see parts of the Adelaide O-Bahn for earlier (bulkier) version of this

Other

Smart cards figure out where people get on and off buses for better bus routes

Additional Optional Elements (Further in the Future)

Elements of on-demand carpooling

A high-tech version of the “slug” program in DC
and on the San Francisco Bay Bridge

On-line PDA or similar allows people to indicate where they are
and where they want to go

Start with large employers such as Microsoft and Boeing

If in time all freeway lanes become congestion-priced (tolled for flow maintenance), then

HOT lanes become truck lanes

Separation of trucks from passenger vehicles increases safety

Net revenues from tolling distributed per-capita to residents of the region
cf Alaska General Fund annual distributions to state residents

In effect gives everyone a “rush-hours budget” (keep or spend)
see PSRC federally-funded experiment with
“demand elasticities”

“Progressive”: net transfer from richer to poorer

Alternatively net revenues used for

Transit

System expansion